

Non-Uniformly Sampled-Data Control of MIMO Systems

P. Albertos



Dept. Systems Eng. and Control

Instituto de Automática e Informática Industrial

Universidad Politécnica de Valencia

pedro@aii.upv.es






Dalian, 31st May 2013

Control Paradigms

2

- Human Control

Non-Uniformly Sampled-Data Control of MIMO Systems





UNIVERSIDAD POLITÉCNICA DE VALENCIA
INSTITUTO DE AUTOMÁTICA E INFORMÁTICA INDUSTRIAL
DEPARTMENT OF SYSTEMS ENGINEERING AND CONTROL



Control Paradigms

3

- Automatic Control



**The controller is not Human:
It can do it better
(sometimes!)**

Mechanical hydraulic thermal Electrical

Control Paradigms

4

• Digital Control

Information processing:

- Sequential operation
- Multitasking
- Resource constraints




• **Control when needed**

Digital control is not Analog:

It can do it better

(sometimes!)



Mechanical hydraulic thermal electrical

Control Paradigms

5

- Digital Control
 - Advantages
 - Cheap, flexible, versatile ...
 - Portable, understandable ...
 - New features ...
 - Drawbacks
 - Open-loop
 - Interferences
 - Hidden oscillations

Non-Uniformly Sampled-Data Control of MIMO Systems



UNIVERSIDAD POLITÉCNICA DE VALENCIA
INSTITUTO DE AUTOMÁTICA E INFORMÁTICA INDUSTRIAL
DEPARTMENT OF SYSTEMS ENGINEERING AND CONTROL



Outline


6

- Motivation for NUSD control
- Modeling NUSD systems
- Inference control
- S&H modeling and control
- Block Multirate control
- Ripple-free control
- Conclusions



Sampling pattern

7



Non-Uniformly Sampled-Data Control of MIMO Systems

Periods, T , as well as delays, τ , could be time variable



UNIVERSIDAD POLITÉCNICA DE VALENCIA
INSTITUTO DE AUTOMÁTICA E INFORMÁTICA INDUSTRIAL
DEPARTMENT OF SYSTEMS ENGINEERING AND CONTROL



Motivation

8

- For MIMO systems:
 - Not all measurements are available at the same rate
 - Control actions can be updated faster
 - Bandwidth can be enlarged (faster response)
 - Some data can be missing
 - Computing resources can be reduced
- But:
 - Controller design is not straightforward
 - Hidden oscillations may appear (ripple)



Non-Uniformly Sampled-Data Control of MIMO Systems



UNIVERSIDAD POLITÉCNICA DE VALENCIA
INSTITUTO DE AUTOMÁTICA E INFORMÁTICA INDUSTRIAL
DEPARTMENT OF SYSTEMS ENGINEERING AND CONTROL



Non uniform ...
 but periodic sampling pattern



Historical Background

10

- Enlarged MIMO system,
 - Araki and Yamamoto, 1986
 - Berg, et al., 1988
 - Godbout, et al., 1990
 - Albertos, et al., 1996
- Vector Switch Decomposition
 - Kranc 1957
 - Thompson, 1986, 1988
- I/O Expansion
 - Meyer 1975
 - Albertos, 1990
- Lifting
 - Bamieh, 1990
 - Bamieh & Voulgaris, 1992

Non-Uniformly Sampled-Data Control of MIMO Systems

↑
LTI




UNIVERSIDAD POLITÉCNICA DE VALENCIA
INSTITUTO DE AUTOMÁTICA E INFORMÁTICA INDUSTRIAL
DEPARTMENT OF SYSTEMS ENGINEERING AND CONTROL



Historical Background (2)

11



Non-Uniformly Sampled-Data Control of MIMO Systems

– CT System + S&H Device

- Kalman & Bertram, 1959
- Tornero, 1983, 1985
- Longhi, 1994



UNIVERSIDAD POLITÉCNICA DE VALENCIA
INSTITUTO DE AUTOMÁTICA E INFORMÁTICA INDUSTRIAL
DEPARTMENT OF SYSTEMS ENGINEERING AND CONTROL



Our Purpose today

12

Consider controlled processes where the sampling-updating pattern is ***NON-UNIFORM***.

Cases: Fast/slow signals
Distributed Control Systems
Networked Control Systems
Periodic Control

Approaches: Fast Inference Control
S&H Multirate Control
Block Multirate Input/output Control

Issues: Computational load
Hidden oscillations

Non-Uniformly Sampled-Data Control of MIMO Systems



UNIVERSIDAD POLITÉCNICA DE VALENCIA
INSTITUTO DE AUTOMÁTICA E INFORMÁTICA INDUSTRIAL
DEPARTMENT OF SYSTEMS ENGINEERING AND CONTROL



Plant model

13

Continuous LTI System :

$$\dot{x}(t) = A_c x(t) + B_c u(t)$$

$$y(t) = Cx(t)$$

$$x \in R^n, u \in R^m, y \in R^p$$

B_c, C are full rank matrices

The system is controllable and observable.

μ is the controllability index, and

ν the observability index

with input/output representation:

$$y(s) = G(s)u(s)$$

DT Plant model

14

Single rate fast and slow models:

Discrete LTI system, period T :

$$x_{k+1} = Ax_k + Bu_k$$

$$y_k = Cx_k$$

$$x \in R^n, u \in R^m, y \in R^p$$

$$y(z) = G(z)u(z)$$

$$A = e^{AcT}$$

$$B_q = \int_0^{qT} e^{Ac\tau} d\tau \cdot B_c; B = B_1$$

Discrete LTI system, period $NT = T_0$:

$$x_{k+1} = A^N x_k + B_N u_k$$

$$y(z^N) = G_N(z^N)u(z^N)$$

Control design goal

15


- Control based on fast model could be more performing
 - ... but it requires more data and computing resources
- Control based on slow model can be improved if:
 - Additional information
 - Faster control updating

Goal: get the best performance with the available sampling/updating pattern.

Inference control

16

SISO fast input



where $m = \{1, 0, 0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots\}$

Convergence issues:

- Uncertainty
- Disturbances
- Instability

Inferenced feedback control


17

Fast control based on inferenced output: mixed regressor
 Data availability may be random. In [1] conditions on:

- sampling period
 - scarcity
- $$\hat{y}_k = -\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \hat{y}_{k-i} + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i u_{k-i} = \hat{\psi}_{k-1}^T \cdot \theta$$

$$\hat{y}_k = \theta_b \psi_{u,k} + \theta_a \bar{\psi}_{y,k}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{y}_k = \theta_b \psi_{u,k} + \theta_a \bar{\psi}_{y,k}$$



[1] OUTPUT PREDICTION UNDER SCARCE DATA OPERATION. CONTROL APPLICATIONS.
 P. ALBERTOS, R. SANCHIS, A. SALA Automatica 35 (1999) 1671-1681




Mixed regressor predictor

18

- Advantages:
 - simplicity and easy operation
 - Random and missing data
- Drawbacks: Convergence depends on
 - Sampling period
 - Data availability
 - Process dynamics
- Alternatives:
 - **Periodic Kalman filter**

MIMO Inferred feedback control¹⁹

Non-Uniformly Sampled-Data Control of MIMO Systems



MIMO fast control: No ripple, comp. load, convergence constraints




UNIVERSIDAD POLITÉCNICA DE VALENCIA
INSTITUTO DE AUTOMÁTICA E INFORMÁTICA INDUSTRIAL
DEPARTMENT OF SYSTEMS ENGINEERING AND CONTROL




MIMO Inferred feedback control²⁰

TITO fast control:



$$d_{11}d_{12}y_1(z) = n_{11}d_{12}u_1(z) + n_{12}d_{11}u_2(z)$$

$$d_{21}d_{22}y_2(z) = n_{21}d_{22}u_1(z) + n_{22}d_{21}u_2(z)$$



$$\begin{cases} \hat{y}_{1,k} = \theta_{b_{11}} \psi_{u_{1,k}} + \theta_{b_{12}} \psi_{u_{2,k}} + \theta_{a_{11}} \bar{\psi}_{y_{1,k}} \\ \hat{y}_{2,k} = \theta_{b_{21}} \psi_{u_{1,k}} + \theta_{b_{22}} \psi_{u_{2,k}} + \theta_{a_{21}} \bar{\psi}_{y_{2,k}} \end{cases} \Rightarrow$$

MIMO fast control: No ripple, comp. load, convergence constraints

Academic example

21

$$G(z) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{0.3066(z+0.4709)}{(z-0.3679)(z-0.2865)} & \frac{-0.37927}{z-0.3679} \\ \frac{0.30277(z+0.7835)}{(z-1.649)(z-0.2865)} & \frac{0.64872}{z-1.649} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{Fast update}$$

$$; T = 0.5s$$

Measurements:

$$m_1 = \{1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0\}$$

$$m_2 = \{1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0\}$$

Non-Uniformly Sampled-Data Control of MIMO Systems

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \hat{y}_{1,k} = [0.3066 \ 0.1444] \psi_{u1,k} + [-0.37927 \ 0.1087] \psi_{u2,k} + [-0.6544 \ 0.1054] \bar{\psi}_{y1,k} \\ \hat{y}_{2,k} = [0.3028 \ 0.2372] \psi_{u1,k} + [0.64872 \ 0.1859] \psi_{u2,k} + [-1.935 \ 0.4724] \bar{\psi}_{y2,k} \end{cases}$$

$$\psi_{u1,k} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{1,k-1} \\ u_{1,k-2} \end{bmatrix}; \psi_{u2,k} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{2,k-1} \\ u_{2,k-2} \end{bmatrix}; \bar{\psi}_{y1,k} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{y}_{1,k-1} \\ \bar{y}_{1,k-2} \end{bmatrix}; \bar{\psi}_{y2,k} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{y}_{2,k-1} \\ \bar{y}_{2,k-2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\bar{y}_{1,k-1} = m_{1,k-1} y_{1,k-1} + (1 - m_{1,k-1}) \hat{y}_{1,k-1}$$

$$\bar{y}_{2,k-1} = m_{2,k-1} y_{2,k-1} + (1 - m_{2,k-1}) \hat{y}_{2,k-1}$$



Reactor example

22

Unstable Batch Reactor, benchmark¹

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a} = & \begin{bmatrix} 1.3800 & -0.2077 & 6.7150 & -5.6760 \\ -0.5814 & -4.2900 & 0 & 0.6750 \\ 1.0670 & 4.2730 & -6.6540 & 5.8930 \\ 0.0480 & 4.2730 & 1.3430 & -2.1040 \end{bmatrix} & \mathbf{b} = & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 5.6790 & 0 \\ 1.1360 & -3.1460 \\ 1.1360 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathbf{c} = & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} & \mathbf{d} = & \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

PI, decentralized control:

$$K_{12}(s) = -\frac{5s+8}{s}; K_{21}(s) = \frac{2s+2}{s}$$

Sampling period $T=0.01$ s;

Missing data:


First output: [0 0.03 0.05], lost in 0.01, 0.02 and 0.04

Second output: [0 0.01 0.03 0.04], lost in 0.02 and 0.05.

¹ *Stability Analysis of Networked Control Systems*, Gregory C. Walsh, Hong Ye, and Linda G. Bushnell. IEEE Trans on Control Systems Technology, Vol. 10, no. 3, May 2002

Reactor responses

23



Non-Uniformly Sampled-Data Control of MIMO Systems



UNIVERSIDAD POLITÉCNICA DE VALENCIA
INSTITUTO DE AUTOMÁTICA E INFORMÁTICA INDUSTRIAL
DEPARTMENT OF SYSTEMS ENGINEERING AND CONTROL




Multirate Hold model [2]

24

Continuous LTI System :

$$\dot{x}(t) = A_c x(t) + B_c u(t)$$

$$y(t) = Cx(t)$$



Discrete LTI system, period T :

$$x_{k+1} = Ax_k + Bu_k$$

$$y_k = Cx_k$$

$$A = e^{A_c T}$$

$$B_q = \int_0^{qT} e^{A_c \tau} d\tau \cdot B_c; B = B_1$$

Virtual hold Updating rate:

$$u_i \rightarrow N_i T$$

$$\Delta_k \equiv \text{diag}\{\delta_i(k), i=1,2,\dots,m\}$$

$$\delta_i(k) \equiv \begin{cases} 1, & k = j \cdot N_i, \\ 0 & k \neq j \cdot N_i, \end{cases} \quad j \in Z^+$$

$$x_{k+1} = Ax_k + B(I - \Delta_k)v_k + B\Delta_k u_k$$

$$v_{k+1} = (I - \Delta_k)v_k + \Delta_k u_k$$

[2] PERIODIC OPTIMAL CONTROL OF MULTIRATE SAMPLED DATA SYSTEMS.
J. TORNERO, P. ALBERTOS, J. SALT PSYCO 2001. COMO (ITALY)



UNIVERSIDAD POLITÉCNICA DE VALENCIA
INSTITUTO DE AUTOMÁTICA E INFORMÁTICA INDUSTRIAL
DEPARTMENT OF SYSTEMS ENGINEERING AND CONTROL



Multirate Sampled model

25

Continuous LTI System :

$$\dot{x}(t) = A_c x(t) + B_c u(t)$$

$$y(t) = Cx(t)$$


Discrete LTI system, period T :

$$x_{k+1} = Ax_k + Bu_k$$

$$y_k = Cx_k$$

$$A = e^{A_c T}$$

$$B_q = \int_0^{qT} e^{A_c \tau} d\tau \cdot B_c; B = B_1$$



Sampling rate: $y_i \rightarrow M_i T$

$$\bar{\Delta}_k \equiv \text{diag}\{\bar{\delta}_i(k), i = 1, 2, \dots, p\}$$

$$\bar{\delta}_i(k) \equiv \begin{cases} 1, & k = j \cdot M_i, \\ 0 & k \neq j \cdot M_i, \end{cases} \quad j \in Z^+$$

$$y_k = \bar{\Delta}_k C x_k = C_k x_k$$

Multirate S&H Model (2)

26

Altogether:

$$\bar{x}_k \equiv \begin{bmatrix} x_k \\ v_k \end{bmatrix} \in R^{n+m} \quad \bar{x}_{k+1} = A_{M,k} \cdot \bar{x}_k + B_{M,k} \cdot u_k$$

$$A_{M,k} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \cdot (I - \Delta_k) \\ 0 & I - \Delta_k \end{bmatrix} \quad B_{M,k} = \begin{bmatrix} B \cdot \Delta_k \\ \Delta_k \end{bmatrix}$$

$$[\bar{x}]_{k+1} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{M,k} & B_{M,k} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \bar{x} \\ u \end{bmatrix}_k = \Phi \cdot H \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \bar{x} \\ u \end{bmatrix}_k = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I - \Delta_k \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x \\ v \\ u \end{bmatrix}_k$$

Hold plant

Periodic LQG Control

27

- SR LQ control:

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \{x_k^T Q x_k + u_k^T R u_k\}$$

$$u_k = K \cdot x_k$$

- MR LQ control:

Direct control

Held control

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \{x_k^T \cdot Q \cdot x_k + u_k^T \Delta_k^T R \Delta_k \cdot u_k + v_k^T [I - \Delta_k]^T R [I - \Delta_k] \cdot v_k\}$$

$$J_M = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [x_k^T \quad v_k^T \quad u_k^T] \cdot \bar{Q}_M(k) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x \\ v \\ u \end{bmatrix}_k$$

$$\bar{Q}_{M,k} = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I - \Delta_k & \Delta_k \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} \bar{Q} & 0 \\ 0 & R \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I - \Delta_k & \Delta_k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Q_{M,k} & M_{M,k} \\ M_{M,k} & R_{M,k} \end{bmatrix}$$



MR LQR Solution

: Periodic Control $K(k)$

$$u_k = K_k \bar{x}_k - R_{M,k}^{-1} M_{M,k} \bar{x}_k$$

$$\bar{x}_k = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ v \end{bmatrix}_k$$

$$K_k = \left[R_{M,k} + B_{M,k}^T P_{k+1} B_k \right]^{-1} B_{M,k}^T P_{k+1} \bar{A}_{M,k}$$

$$P_k = \bar{A}_{M,k}^T P_{k+1} \bar{A}_{M,k} + \bar{Q}_{M,k} - \bar{A}_{M,k}^T P_{k+1} B_{M,k} K_k$$

$$\bar{A}_{M,k} = A_{M,k} - B_{M,k} R_{M,k}^{-1} M_{M,k}$$

$$\bar{Q}_{M,k} = Q_{M,k} - M_{M,k} R_{M,k}^{-1} M_{M,k}$$

Periodic State Estimator

29

- Given the system

$$x_{k+1} = Ax_k + Bu_k + w_k$$

$$y_k = C_k x_k + \eta_k$$

$$C_k = \bar{\Delta}_k C$$

$$\bar{\Delta}_k \equiv \text{diag}\{\bar{\delta}_i(k), i = 1, 2, \dots, p\}$$

$$\bar{\delta}_i(k) \equiv \begin{cases} 1, & k = j \cdot M_i, \\ 0 & k \neq j \cdot M_i, \end{cases} \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$$

define the periodic state estimator

$$\hat{x}_{k+1} = Ax_k + Bu_k + L_k [y_k - C_k \hat{x}_k]$$

$$L_k = AP_{k+1}C_k^T [R_2 + C_k P_k C_k^T]^{-1}$$

$$P_{k+1} = AP_k A^T + R_1 - L_k C_k P_k A^T$$


Illustrative Example

30

- Assume the CT system

$$A_c = \begin{bmatrix} -2.5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}; B_c = \begin{bmatrix} 2.5 & 0 \\ 10 & -1.2 \\ 5/6 & 1 \end{bmatrix}; C = \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 1 & 0 \\ -1/3 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

with sampling/updating pattern:




$$T=0.5$$

$$u_k = K_i \bar{x}_k; i = k \bmod N$$


Time	Filter Gain
L(0)	-0.2002 -0.0125 0.0614 -0.0360 -0.0558 0.6781
L(1)	0
L(2)	0 -0.1413 0 0.0034 0 0.6426
L(3)	-0.2006 0 0.0601 0 -0.0317 0
L(4)	0 -0.0860 0 -0.0139 0 0.6590
L(5)	0

Time responses

31



$$x^T(0) = [0.5 \quad -0.4 \quad 0.3]$$






Non-Uniformly



UNIVERSIDAD POLITÉCNICA DE VALENCIA
INSTITUTO DE AUTOMÁTICA E INFORMÁTICA INDUSTRIAL
DEPARTMENT OF SYSTEMS ENGINEERING AND CONTROL



Observer effect



S&H Multirate approach

33

- Compact model with LTI + S&H periodic device
 - Easy to change the S and/or Hold strategy
- Multirate LQR easily solved as set of Riccati equation
- Multirate LQG easily solved using a set of Kalman filter equations
- Three blocks in the system:
 - the plant (LTI, low order)
 - the periodic controller and
 - the periodic estimator
- Clear understanding
- Oscillatory effects. Bang-bang

Non-Uniformly Sampled-Data Control of MIMO Systems



UNIVERSIDAD POLITÉCNICA DE VALENCIA
INSTITUTO DE AUTOMÁTICA E INFORMÁTICA INDUSTRIAL
DEPARTMENT OF SYSTEMS ENGINEERING AND CONTROL



BMIO Approach [3]

34

- Consider blocks of inputs and outputs
- Slow state representation
- Arbitrary but periodic S&H pattern
- Assign the slow plant structure
- Track arbitrary reference
- Hidden oscillations
- Intersampling behavior

Non-Uniformly Sampled-Data Control of MIMO Systems

[3] BLOCK MULTIRATE INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL FOR SAMPLED DATA CONTROL SYSTEMS.
P. ALBERTOS, IEEE Trans on AC. Vol.35 N9 1085-1088. 1990.



UNIVERSIDAD POLITÉCNICA DE VALENCIA
INSTITUTO DE AUTOMÁTICA E INFORMÁTICA INDUSTRIAL
DEPARTMENT OF SYSTEMS ENGINEERING AND CONTROL




Discrete LTI system, period T :

$$x_{k+1} = Ax_k + Bu_k$$

$$y_k = Cx_k$$

$$A = e^{AcT}$$

$$B_q = \int_0^{qT} e^{Ac\tau} d\tau \cdot B_c; B = B_1$$



Periodic system with (evolving) period T_0 and

- Enough number of measurements ($> \nu$)
- Enough number of actions ($> \mu$)

$$s_i = t_i / T, \quad r^j = t^j / T \quad \text{and} \quad N = T_0 / T$$



$y(kT_0 + t_i), i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ Outputs/Inputs defined in a frame
 $u(kT_0 + t^j), j = 1, 2, \dots, r$ period

BMIO Procedure.
 Blocks Definition

$$Y_k = \begin{bmatrix} y(kT_0 + t_1) \\ y(kT_0 + t_2) \\ \dots \\ y(kT_0 + t_s) \end{bmatrix}; \quad U_k = \begin{bmatrix} u(kT_0 + t^1) \\ u(kT_0 + t^2) \\ \dots \\ u(kT_0 + t^r) \end{bmatrix}$$

N-period discrete ss representation

$$x_{k+1} = x[(k + 1)T_0] = A^N x_k + WU_k$$

$$W = \begin{bmatrix} A^{N-r_2} B_{r_2-r_1} & \dots & A^{N-r_r} B_{r-r_{r-1}} & B_{N-r_r} \end{bmatrix} \in R^{n \times mr}$$

Block Controllability Matrix



$$Y_k = O x_k + H U_k \quad O = \begin{bmatrix} CA^{s_1} \\ CA^{s_2} \\ \vdots \\ CA^{s_s} \end{bmatrix}$$

Block Observability Matrix $\in R^{ps \times n}$

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} h_{11} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ h_{s1} & \dots & h_{sr} \end{bmatrix} \in R^{ps \times mr}$$

Haenkel Input-Output Matrix.

$$h_{ij} = CA^I B_J; \quad \begin{cases} r_j < s_i & I = s_i - r_j & J = r_j - r_{j-1} \\ r_{j-1} < s_i < r_j & I = 0 & J = s_i - r_{j-1} \\ s_i < r_{j-1} & I = 0 & J = 0 \end{cases}$$



Internal Representation of a Non-Uniformly SD system

$$x_{k+1} = x[(k+1)T_0] = A^N x_k + WU_k \quad Y_k = O x_k + H U_k$$

Remark: The matrices W, O, and H could be obtained by other modeling procedure.

If $\text{rank}(O) = n$, by state reconstruction.

Denotes pseudoinverse

$$x_k = A^N x_{k-1} + WU_{k-1} = A^N O^\# Y_{k-1} + (W - A^N O^\# H) U_{k-1}$$

$$x_k = P Y_{k-1} + Q U_{k-1}$$

$$Y_k = O P Y_{k-1} + O Q U_{k-1} + H U_k$$



- ✧ If there are not enough samples to make O full rank matrix, the frame period must be enlarged (replicated)
- ✧ The block vectors could be shifted for a frame period starting at any other control updating time \Rightarrow
 $\Rightarrow W, O, H$ will be correspondingly changed.

PROPOSITION 1: For a frame period T_0

$$\text{rank}[W] = \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} b_1 & \dots & A_c^{g_1-1} b_1 & \dots & b_m & \dots & A_c^{g_m-1} b_m \end{bmatrix}$$

b_i is the i -column of the input matrix B_c

g_i updates for each input vector component u_i

The expression is equivalent to the previous one

$$W = \begin{bmatrix} A^{N-r_2} B_{r_2-r_1} & \dots & A^{N-r_r} B_{r-r_{r-1}} & B_{N-r_r} \end{bmatrix} \in R^{n \times m r}$$

Lemma: W is full rank, if $r \geq \mu \Leftrightarrow g_i \geq \mu_i$

Remarks: i) Dual results for observability.

ii) If W, O are full rank, they are non-singular in a SISO case

PROPOSITION 2: Given a SISO non-uniformly SD system, the necessary conditions for $\text{rank}(H)=s$ are:

1. $r \geq s$
2. $i = 1, \dots, s \quad t^i \leq t_i$

Comments:


1. It is evident, based on the dimensions of H ($s \times r$).
2. It points out the requirement of enough changes in the input components to ensure the desired output value at any sampling time.
3. The extension to MIMO system is not easy to express. (Firstly the system should be output controllable)



PROPOSITION 3: For a MIMO non-uniformly SD system, the necessary conditions for $\text{rank}(H) = p$ are:

1. $mr \geq ps$
 2. $i = 1, \dots, s \quad \sum_j m^j \leq p \quad \forall j, \quad t^j \leq t_i$
- m^j is the number of inputs updated at time t^j

The sufficiency would require to check that any input component influences any output component between any two updating times.

 If H is full rank, the system will be denoted “*output invertible*”.

 If condition 1 holds, it is always possible to start the frame period in such a way that condition 2 is also satisfied.

BMIO Model Controllability.

43

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_{k+1} &= OPY_k + OQU_k + HU_{k+1} \\
 U_{k+1} &= \left. \begin{matrix} U_{k+1} \\ U_{k+1} \end{matrix} \right\} \\
 \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} Y_{k+1} \\ U_{k+1} \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} OP & OQ \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Y_k \\ U_k \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} H \\ I \end{bmatrix} U_{k+1}
 \end{aligned}$$

An enlarged “ss” representation. State dimension (ps+mr)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dim(O) &= ps \times n \\
 \dim(W) &= n \times mr
 \end{aligned}$$

The controllability matrix is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} H & OPH + OQ \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} H & OW \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

mr x mr

In case of full rank matrices O, W the maximum rank of this matrix is mr+n

Using a new block vector defined as follows:

$$X_k = Y_k - HU_k = OX_k$$

The new “state” equation is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_{k+1} \\ U_{k+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} OP & OPH - HOW \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_k \\ U_k \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ I \end{bmatrix} U_{k+1}$$

✓ Only n eigenvalues of those attached to the dynamic of this enlarged state can be assigned by this control.



$$x_{k+1} = x[(k+1)T_0] = A^N x_k + WU_k \quad Y_k = O x_k + H U_k$$

$$x_k = P Y_{k-1} + Q U_{k-1} \quad Y_k = O P Y_{k-1} + O Q U_{k-1} + H U_k$$

Structure Assignment Controller:

If W is full rank, a desired closed loop response $x_{k+1} = A_d x_k$

could be obtained applying the control law:

$$A_d x_k = A^N x_k + W U_k \Rightarrow U_k = W^\# (A_d - A^N) x_k = \Gamma x_k$$

How to choose it ?

$$U_k = \Gamma P Y_{k-1} + \Gamma Q U_{k-1}$$

State

Input / output



Output Tracking Controller:

If the block matrices W , O , and H are full rank, it is possible to obtain a desired reference.


$$Y_k = OPY_{k-1} + OQU_{k-1} + HU_k$$



$$U_k = M(Y_{d,k}) + M_1Y_{k-1} + M_2U_{k-1}$$

$$M = H^\#; \quad M_1 = -H^\#OP; \quad M_2 = -H^\#OQ$$

and with reference forwarding:



$$U_k = H^\#(Y_{d,k} - OX_k)$$

By comparison of:

$$\begin{aligned}
 U_k &= W^\# (A_d - A^N)x_k = \Gamma x_k & W^\# (A_d - A^N) &= -H^\# O \\
 U_k &= H^\# (Y_{d,k} - O x_k)
 \end{aligned}$$

A perfect tracking of a given reference is equivalent to assigning a system structure $A_d = A^N - WH^\# O$


Previous controllers: Performance based in cancellation features.

Drawbacks: robustness, numerical computation accuracy, intersampling behaviour, disturbance treatment.

Reactor's example

48

BMIO reference tracking (compared with mixed regressor)



Non-Uniformly Sampled-Data Control of MIMO Systems



UNIVERSIDAD POLITÉCNICA DE VALENCIA
INSTITUTO DE AUTOMÁTICA E INFORMÁTICA INDUSTRIAL
DEPARTMENT OF SYSTEMS ENGINEERING AND CONTROL



Another option: To force a suitable pole assignment.

If H is full rank (by proper selection of starting updating control):

$$U_k = MY_{d,k} + M_1 Y_{k-1} + M_2 U_{k-1}$$

This controller allows to assign m_r+n poles of the global closed-loop controlled system by proper selection of matrices M 's.

$$Y_k = OPY_{k-1} + OQU_{k-1} + HU_k$$

$$Y_k = (OP + HM_1)Y_{k-1} + (OQ + HM_2)U_{k-1}$$

$$U_k = M_1 Y_{k-1} + M_2 U_{k-1}$$

The output reference has been deleted

The closed loop dynamic is characterized by the eigenvalues of:

$$\begin{bmatrix} OP + HM_1 & OQ + HM_2 \\ M_1 & M_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} OP & OQ \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} H \\ I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} M_1 & M_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

M_1, M_2 are computed by any pole placement algorithm


In order to avoid the intersampling ripple of the controlled system, for constant inputs, a constant steady-state control should be used:

$$\bar{\Gamma} = \begin{bmatrix} K \\ \vdots \\ K \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{Stability:} \quad \text{eig}(A^N + W\Gamma) \\ \text{eig}(A^N + [A^{N-r_2} B_{r_2-r_1} + \dots + A^{N-r_r} B_{r-r-1} + B_{N-r_r}]K)$$

Pole assignment: $(A^N, [A^{N-r_2} B_{r_2-r_1} + \dots + A^{N-r_r} B_{r-r-1} + B_{N-r_r}])$

Regulation:

$$\Gamma_0 = W^\# (A_d - A^N)$$



An dynamic control law can be expressed in matrix form

Initial control: $U_0 = \Gamma_0 x_0 = W^\# (A_d - A^N) x_0$

Steady-state control: $\bar{U} = \bar{\Gamma} \bar{x} = \begin{bmatrix} K \\ \vdots \\ K \end{bmatrix} \bar{x}$

Transient:

$$U_k = \Gamma_k x_k$$

$$\Gamma_k = \beta \Gamma_{k-1} + (1 - \beta) \bar{\Gamma}$$

The gain matrix should be reset for step changes in reference or disturbances

Tracking: $Y_{d,k}$

$$U_k = H^\# (Y_{d,k} - O x_k) \quad x_{k+1} = (A^N - WH^\# O) x_k + H^\# Y_{d,k}$$

Stability:

$$\Gamma_0 = -H^\# O \quad \text{eig}(A^N - WH^\# O) \quad \text{eig}(A^N + [A^{N-r_2} B_{r_2-r_1} + \dots + A^{N-r_r} B_{r-r-1} + B_{N-r_r}]) K$$

$$\bar{\Gamma} = \begin{bmatrix} K \\ \vdots \\ K \end{bmatrix} \quad \bar{\Gamma} = -\bar{H}^\# O \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} K \\ \vdots \\ K \end{bmatrix} \quad \bar{M} = \bar{H}^\# = - \begin{bmatrix} K \\ \vdots \\ K \end{bmatrix} \quad O^\# = [h_{ij}]$$

Input/Output control:

$$U_k = M Y_{d,k} + M_1 Y_{k-1} + M_2 U_{k-1}$$

$$M_0 = M = H^\#; \quad M_1 = -H^\# O P; \quad M_2 = -H^\# O Q$$

Ripple-free Control.

54

Input/Output control: $Y_{d,k}$

$$\Gamma(z) = \Gamma_0 + \frac{1-\beta}{1-\beta z^{-1}}(\bar{\Gamma} - \Gamma_0)$$

$$U_k = MY_{d,k} + M_1 Y_{k-1} + M_2 U_{k-1}$$

$$M = H_c^\#; \quad M_1 = -H_c^\#OP; \quad M_2 = -H_c^\#OQ$$

$$M_0 = H_c^\#; \quad \bar{M} = \bar{H}^\# = - \begin{bmatrix} K \\ \vdots \\ K \end{bmatrix} O^\#$$

$$M(z) = M_0 + \frac{1-\beta}{1-\beta z^{-1}}(\bar{M} - M_0)$$

$$M_1(z) = -M(z)OP$$

$$M_2(z) = -M(z)OQ$$

$$M_k = \beta M_{k-1} + (1-\beta)\bar{M}$$

$$M_{1,k} = -M_k OP$$

$$M_{2,k} = -M_k OQ$$

In order to avoid the intersampling ripple of the controlled system, for constant inputs, a constant steady-state control should be used.

The initial gain being γ_0 , and the steady state gain being $\bar{\gamma}$, the controller will be: $u(z) = \gamma(z)x(z)$ where

$$\gamma(z) = \bar{\gamma} \frac{1 - \alpha z^{-1}}{1 - \beta z^{-1}} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \beta \rightarrow \text{tuning} \\ \gamma(z) \xrightarrow{z=1} \gamma_0 \\ \gamma(z) \xrightarrow{z \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\gamma} \end{cases}$$

$$\gamma(1) = \bar{\gamma} \frac{1 - \alpha}{1 - \beta} = \gamma_0 \Rightarrow \alpha = 1 - (1 - \beta) \frac{\gamma_0}{\bar{\gamma}}$$

$$u_k = \beta u_{k-1} + \bar{\gamma} (x_k - \alpha x_{k-1})$$

$$u_k = \beta u_{k-1} + \bar{\gamma} (x_k - x_{k-1}) + (1 - \beta) \gamma_0 x_{k-1}$$

In order to avoid the intersampling ripple of the controlled system, for constant inputs, a constant steady-state control should be used.

The initial gain being Γ_0 , and the steady state gain being $\bar{\Gamma}$, the controller will be: $u(z) = \Gamma(z)x(z)$ where

$$\Gamma(z) = \frac{1}{1 - \beta z^{-1}} \bar{\Gamma} (I - \Psi z^{-1}) \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \beta \rightarrow \text{tuning} \\ \Gamma(z) \xrightarrow{z=1} \Gamma_0 \\ \Gamma(z) \xrightarrow{z \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\Gamma} \end{cases}$$

$$\Gamma(1) = \frac{1}{1 - \beta} (I - \Psi) \bar{\Gamma} = \Gamma_0 \Rightarrow \Psi = I - (1 - \beta) \bar{\Gamma}^{-1} \Gamma_0$$

$$u_k = \beta u_{k-1} + \bar{\Gamma} (x_k - x_{k-1}) - (1 - \beta) \Gamma_0 x_{k-1}$$

Academic example

57

Consider the SISO plant

$$G(s) = \frac{1}{(s-0.2)(s+1.5)}$$

with SS representation: $A_c = \begin{bmatrix} -1.3 & 0.6 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $B_c = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $C = [0 \ 1]$, $D = 0$

Sampling pattern: {0, 0.75} Updating pattern: {0, 0.5}

Evolving period: $T_o = 1.5$ s; Fast sampling period: $T = 0.25$ s; $N=6$

Fast DT plant model matrices are:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} .7301 & 0.1285 \\ 0.1071 & 1.0084 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0.4282 \\ 0.0282 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = [0 \ 1], \quad D = 0$$

BMIO plant model matrices are:

$$O = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2464 & 1.0633 \\ 0.366 & 1.2035 \end{bmatrix}, \quad W = \begin{bmatrix} .2896 & .43 & .7445 \\ .3316 & .2441 & .1024 \end{bmatrix}, \quad H = \begin{bmatrix} .183 & .0282 & 0 \\ .3316 & .2441 & .1024 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$P = A^6 O^\# \quad Q = W - A^6 O^\# H$$

Closed-loop behavior

58

The I/O controller matrices are:


$$U_k = MY_{d,k} + M_1 Y_{k-1} + M_2 U_{k-1}$$

$$M = H^\#; \quad M_1 = -H^\#OP; \quad M_2 = -H^\#OQ$$

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 6.5589 & -0.6190 \\ -7.1048 & 4.0213 \\ -4.3042 & 2.1836 \end{bmatrix} \quad M_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2.1269 & -8.5164 \\ -0.4252 & 3.1924 \\ -0.3992 & 2.3968 \end{bmatrix} \quad M_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -0.0744 & -0.7893 \\ 0 & 0.0149 & 0.1578 \\ 0 & 0.0140 & 0.1481 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} OP + HM_1 & OQ + HM_2 \\ M_1 & M_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2.1269 & -8.6154 & 0 & -0.0744 & -0.7893 \\ -0.4252 & 3.1924 & 0 & 0.0149 & 0.1578 \\ -0.3992 & 2.3968 & 0 & 0.0140 & 0.1481 \end{bmatrix}$$

Closed-loop poles: $\{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0.163\}$



Non-uniform sampled-data control of MIMO systems. Pedro Albertos, Julián Salt
Annual Reviews in Control 35 (2011) 65–76




UNIVERSIDAD POLITÉCNICA DE VALENCIA
INSTITUTO DE AUTOMÁTICA E INFORMÁTICA INDUSTRIAL
DEPARTMENT OF SYSTEMS ENGINEERING AND CONTROL




Design Γ for stable slow behavior (poles in 0.163) and apply progressive control ($\beta=0.7$)

Non-Uniformly Sampled-Data Control of MIMO Systems



Controller must be reset for large errors → Hybrid Control

Non-Uniformly Sampled-Data Control of MIMO Systems



Lag Controller : First reply



UNIVERSIDAD POLITÉCNICA DE VALENCIA
 INSTITUTO DE AUTOMÁTICA E INFORMÁTICA INDUSTRIAL
 DEPARTMENT OF SYSTEMS ENGINEERING AND CONTROL



CONCLUSIONS

62

- Non-Uniform Sampling/updating
 - MIMO systems
 - Instrumentation constraints
 - Computing resources optimization
- Control design
 - Get the fastest rate performance
 - Avoid intersampling ripple
- Estimate the fastest signals (output)
 - Mixed regressor
 - Kalman filter
- Ripple free control design: BMIO approach

Non-Uniformly Sampled-Data Control of MIMO Systems



UNIVERSIDAD POLITÉCNICA DE VALENCIA
INSTITUTO DE AUTOMÁTICA E INFORMÁTICA INDUSTRIAL
DEPARTMENT OF SYSTEMS ENGINEERING AND CONTROL



Non-Uniformly Sampled-Data Control of MIMO Systems

P. Albertos

Dept. Systems Eng. and Control

Instituto de Automática e Informática Industrial

Universidad Politécnica de Valencia

pedro@aii.upv.es



UNIVERSIDAD POLITÉCNICA DE VALENCIA
INSTITUTO DE AUTOMÁTICA E INFORMÁTICA INDUSTRIAL
DEPARTMENT OF SYSTEMS ENGINEERING AND CONTROL

